

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/765,972	HUBER ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Stefan Staicovici	1732

**All Participants:**

(1) Stefan Staicovici.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Stephen Catlin.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 2 May 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1 and 37

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Staicovici proposed in claims 1 and 37, line 5, after "part", the first occurrence of "at" to be replaced with --on-- in order to provide clear and supported language to define the claims over the art of record. Mr. Stephen Catlin agreed to the proposed amendment.;